UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,818	08/22/2001	Anne O'Malley	03292.101610	8839
5514 7590 02/25/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/934,818	O'MALLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	STEFANOS KARMIS	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 De</u>	ecember 2007					
	action is non-final.					
	/ 					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-53</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-53</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 09/934,818 Page 2

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 03 December 2007.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-29 are cancelled. Claims 30, 38 and 46 are currently amended. Therefore claims 30-53 are under prosecution.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30-53 regarding enrolling with RFQ providers prior to receiving quotes have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 30-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rigole U.S. Publication 2001/0049632 A1 in view of Schmid U.S. Publication 2002/0029188.

Regarding independent claims 30, 38 and 46, Rigole teaches a method for facilitating a request for quotes (RFQ) comprising:

Receiving user data in an RFQ enrollment system, the user data comprising information related to a user and information related to at least one quote desired by the user (page 4, paragraph 0043, page 5, paragraph 0048 and page 8, paragraph 0072 and Figure 3);

Registering the user, using the information related to the user, with the RFQ enrollment system (page 8, paragraph 0072 and Figure 3 and page 5, paragraph 0048);

Transmitting the user data to the plurality of RFQ providers (page 8, paragraph 0073 and Figure 3 and page 6, paragraph 0057); and

Enrolling the user with the plurality of RFQ providers using the information related to the user (page 8, paragraph 0074 and Figure 3 and page 7, paragraph 0063).

Rigole teaches that the service provider provides a price and other terms of service (page 9, paragraph 0088). Further, Rigole teaches sending RFQ (page 4, paragraph 0043). Rigole fails to expressly teach the price is the response from the request for quote and that the user is enrolled with the RFQ provider, prior to receiving any quotes from the RFQ provider. Schmid teaches a method for obtaining a loan over the Internet (Abstract). Schmid teaches that a user registers with an enrollment system (page 2, paragraphs 0017-0019). The user is then enrolled with RFQ providers that have expressed a willingness to see projects of the type requested by the user (page 2, paragraphs 0021-0023). This is carried out prior to receiving a quote from the RFQ provider (lender) (page 3, paragraph 0031: Examiner notes that the lenders and user are enrolled with one another through system and only select lenders from within their enrollment are selected for possible RFQs).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the price posting and matching teachings of Rigole to specify that enrolling prior to receiving a quote as taught by Schmid because it provides an upfront way of matching potential buyers and sellers likely to transact and thus eliminate quotes from potential sellers who would not likely to be transacted with, thus saving both parties needless communication with unlikely matches. There is further sufficient motivation to combine since both Rigole and Schmid have computer systems designed to bring buyers and service providers together through a quotation means.

Claims 31, 39 and 47, Rigole teaches providing a confirmation of the registration to the user with the RFQ enrollment system (page 5, paragraph 0048; Examiner notes customers can access their application through an identifier and thus confirm they are registered).

Claims 32, 40 and 48, Rigole teaches receiving a confirmation of the enrollment of the user with at least one of the plurality of RFQ providers (page 2, paragraph 0022).

Claims 33, 41 and 49, Rigole teaches providing to the user the confirmation of the enrollment of the user with the plurality of RFQ providers (page 2, paragraph 0022).

Claims 34, 42 and 50, Rigole teaches that the service provider provides a price and other terms of service (page 9, paragraph 0088). Further, Rigole teaches sending RFQ (page 4, paragraph 0043). Rigole fails to expressly teach the price is the response from the request for

quote. Schmid teaches a computerized quotation method in which members register to send RFQs and receive response quotes from their request (page 3, paragraphs 0031-0032). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the price posting and matching teachings of Rigole to specify that it is the response quote as taught by Schmid because they both reflect the price a service provider is charging for a service requested from a user.

Claims 35, 43 and 51, Rigole teaches billing the user if the user accepts the at least one quote from the at least one of the plurality of RFQ providers (page 6, paragraphs 0059-0060).

Claims 36, 44 and 52, Rigole teaches requesting additional information from the user to be provided to at least one of the plurality of RFQ providers in reply to a request by the at least one plurality of RFQ providers for the additional information (page 9, paragraph 0084 and page 9, paragraph 0092; Examiner notes that the service provider can provide data input fields for the customer to provide additional information above what the customer supplied to the IPCS).

Claims 37, 45 and 53, Rigole teaches receiving information related to the plurality of RFQ providers; and registering the plurality of RFQ providers, using the information related to the plurality of RFQ providers, with the RFQ enrollment system (page 6, paragraph 0056 and page 9, paragraph 0086).

Application/Control Number: 09/934,818 Page 6

Art Unit: 3693

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEFANOS KARMIS whose telephone number is (571)272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully Submitted
/Stefanos Karmis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693
19 February 2008